UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/600,995	06/20/2003	Ben Jai	5-4-52	5758	
Ryan, Mason &	7590 01/09/200 : Lewis, LLP	EXAMINER			
Suite 205		BRUCKART, BENJAMIN R			
1300 Post Road Fairfield, CT 06824			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2446		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/09/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/600,995	JAI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	BENJAMIN R. BRUCKART	2446				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 December 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth hter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta	nsideration and/or search (see NO¯ w);	ΓE below);				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.		l be entered and an ex	κplanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application ir	condition for allowand	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Jeffrey Pwu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2446	Benjamin R Bruckart Examiner Art Unit: 2446					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The examiner maintains the rejection because the arguments are not persuasive. The applicant only presented arguments and no claim amendments.

The Moir reference does teach that the rule file is compiled and does teach "one or more input rules comprise one or more executable statements."

The input rules are defined on page 4, para 54-56 where the subcomponents and dependencies are address. These configurations are executed to determine and control on how a device is to behave (para 56). Moir: page 4, para 56-page 5, para 58; rule files and operations file descript operations of components. Executable statements is broad and is not limited to code or a certain type of statement. All the Moir reference has to show is that the statements are utilized or executed to perform an operation, that which is shown in Moir but the use of the parameters and configurations that dictate how a device is deployed and used.

The compriled rule file is (see para 56-58) when the parameters are configured and executed or utilized to create the output rules. Moir: page 5, para 58; the rule program is derived by compiling the rule file and operations file